

UMARG project Reference number: 2019-1-RO01-KA201-063778



UMARG scenarios' evaluation rubric

Rubric's information							
Country		Number of scenarios evaluated					
Institutions evaluating the scenarios							

Rubric's evaluation criteria	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree/ disagree	Agree	Strongly agree		
	1	2	3	4	5		
Part 1							
1.1 . The scenario is relevant and targets the selected sustainability goals.							
1.2. The scenario addresses the targeted competences.							
1.3. The scenario's implementation is feasible in terms of duration.							
1.4. The scenario implementation is feasible and appropriate for the specific age-group in terms of contents and activities.							
Part 2							
2.1. The learning outcomes are clear and measurable							
Part 3							
3.1. The description of the game plot is clear and intriguing.							
3.2. The game objectives are clear and achievable.							

The European Commission support for the production of this publication does not constitute an endorsement of the contents, which reflects the views only of the authors, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.



UMARG project Reference number: 2019-1-R001-KA201-063778



3.3. The scenario includes physical locations with added value.							
3.4. The scenario promotes collaboration.							
PART 4							
4.1. The description of the scenario's implementation phases is clear.							
4.2. The scenario's implementation phase sequence is rational.							
PART 5 (not applicable since not all supportive material are ready)							
5.1. The supportive materials are free and widely accessible	-	-	-	-	-		
PART 6							
5.2. The scenario's evaluation methods are related to the goals.							